



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,262	11/02/2001	David Walter Edmunds	1028-004US01	4492	
75	590 01/03/2005	EXAMINER			
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.			NGUYEN, CINDY		
8425 Seasons P Suite 105	arkway	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
St. Paul, MN 55125			2161		
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/016,26	2	EDMUNDS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Cindy Ng	·	2171					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2004.								
<i>,</i> —	•		action is no	n-final.					
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application Papers									
	•	e Examine	ır						
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 0) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: .					

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to amendment filed 07/12/04.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shah et al. (US 20020099692).

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 4, Shad discloses: a method, a system and a computer program product for the calculation and back-soving of complex relationships in a sub-cube of a multimensional database system comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2161

inputting from a user the required values of specified cells and any constraints (page 3, 0051, 0052, Shad);

wherein more than one calculation may affect a cell, selecting a calculation for the affected cell in accordance with a set of prioritisation rules (page 3, 0052, Shad);

creating one or more parent/child tables giving the relationships and dependencies between target cells and other cells in the sub-cube (page 2, 0024, Shad):

determining from the one or more parent/child tables the one or more target cells requiring one or more backsolving calculations to be performed (page 3, 0051, 0052 and page 5, 0075, 0076, Shad);

for each target cell requiring backsolving calculations:

performing the one or more backsolving calculations and recording that a change has taken place (page 5, 0075, Shad);

remembering the one or more parent cells of the target cell to ensure they are recalculated (page 5, 0075, Shad);

recalculating the values for each remembered parent cell and recording that a change has taken place (page 5, 0075, Shad);

repeating the performing, remembering, and recalculating steps until all changes to the target cells and their parent cells are complete (page 3, 0053, Shad);

repeating the performing, remembering, recalculating, and first repeating steps until no changes are recorded (page 3, 0053, Shad); and

reporting the results of the foregoing steps to the user (page 4, 0054, Shad).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 2, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, Shad discloses: wherein the Parent/Child table creating step is carried out as part of the performing one or more calculations step, so that in smaller, less complex sub-cubes, there is some advantage taken in the reduced number of times a particular cell is accessed (page 5, 0068, Shad).

Regarding claim 5, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, Shad discloses: wherein the step b) includes the step of accepting from a user an adjustment to the prioritization rules (page 6, 0068, Shad).

Regarding claim 6, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, Shad discloses: including after step b) the step of b1) checking the sub cube for consistency (page 6, 0086 and page 2, 0036, Shad).

1. Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2161

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bakalash et al. (U.S 6385604). Relational database management system having integrated non-relational multi-dimensional data store of aggregated data elements.

Ravid et al. (U.S 6622137). System and method for business decision implementation in a billing environment using decision operation trees.

2. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2161

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cindy Nguyen December 21, 2004